Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | (s) | |
|-----------------|----------------|-----|--|
| 10/584,286 | KUSHIYA ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Date Malel | 1793 | | |
| Brian Walck | 1793 | | |

| | Brian Walck | 1793 | |
|--|--|--|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with | the correspondence add | lress |
| THE REPLY FILED 01 July 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL | ICATION IN CONDITION FO | R ALLOWANCE. | |
| M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following I application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notic replies: (1) an amendment, aff al (with appeal fee) in compli | e of Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evidence, v ance with 37 CFR 41.31; o | vhich places the r (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | iter than SIX MONTHS from the r | nailing date of the final rejection | on. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the bare been filled is the date for hyposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sest forth in (b) above, if checked. Any pely received by the Office are may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CF ension and the corresponding an hortened statutory period for repl | ount of the fee. The appropri originally set in the final Office | ate extension fee to action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e |)), to avoid dismissal of the | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be They raise new issues that would require further core They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | sideration and/or search (see | | ecause |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or | er form for appeal by materia | lly reducing or simplifying t | he issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c | orresponding number of final | y rejected claims. | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11 | 16 and 41.33(a)). | | |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | n-Compliant Amendment (| PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all- non-allowable claim(s). | owable if submitted in a separ | ate, timely filed amendme | nt canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | will be entered and an e | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>3-6</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but | hefere or an the date of Elina | a Nation of Annual will no | t he entered |
| because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | sufficient reasons why the af | fidavit or other evidence is | necessary and |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing an entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under a | ppeal and/or appellant fail | s to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | • | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered but See attached. | does NOT place the applicat | ion in condition for allowan | ice because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | _ | |
| / Roy King/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793 | /Brian Walck/ Examiner, Art Unit | 1793 | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly amended limitation to claim 3 alters the scope of previously rejected claims 4 and 5.